UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ARRAIGNMENT AND PLEA MINUTES

v.

BRADLEY D. HOUNSELL Case No. 25-CR-69 Hearing Began: 11:06 am HONORABLE WILLIAM C. GRIESBACH, presiding Deputy Clerk: Lori Hearing Ended: 11:25 am Hearing Held: April 18, 2025 Tape Number: Zoom 041825 Appearances: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA by: Daniel R. Humble BRADLEY D. HOUNSELL by: Thomas E. Phillip \boxtimes FDS \square CJA \square RET Robert Herman U.S. PROBATION OFFICE by: INTERPRETER: ⊠ None □ Sworn None Defendant appears via video conference. ☑ Defendant consents to proceed via video from Brown County Jail. ☐ Superseding Indictment ☐ Information □ Original Indictment ☐ Misdemeanor Speedy Trial Date: 6/27/25 District Judge: William C. Griesbach Final Pretrial Conf.: Magistrate Judge: William E. Duffin 6/12/25 at 1:30 p.m. Motions Due: Jury Trial Date: 6/24/25 at 8:30 a.m. 5/5/25 Trial Length Estimate: 3 days Responses Due: 5/15/25 Replies Due: 5/20/25 ☑ Defendant advised of rights ⊠ Government to disclose grand jury materials ⊠ Court orders counsel appointed one day prior to trial ☐ Oral Motion for Complex Designation ☑ Defendant advised of charges, penalties, and fines ⊠ Copy of indictment received by defendant ☐ Granted ☐ Denied ☐ document read ☐ reading waived ☐ Referred to William E. Duffin \boxtimes Not guilty plea entered by: \boxtimes Defendant \square Court ☐ Case designated complex Expanded discovery policy applies

THE COURT ORDERS that pursuant to Federal Criminal Rule of Procedure 5(f) the government must produce all exculpatory information to the defendant(s) as required by *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and its progeny. Failure to comply with this order in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges and contempt proceedings.

<u>Maximum Penalties</u>: mandatory minimum 15 years - 30 years; Fine: \$250,000; SR: 5 years - Life; SA: \$100 and if defendant is found non-indigent there is a \$5000 mandatory assessment.

	Detention neuring neta.
\boxtimes	Defendant released on: \boxtimes O/R bond; \square Cash bond; \square Property bond; \boxtimes with conditions. SEE Order Setting Conditions of Release.
	Defendant is ordered detained pending trial. SEE Order of Detention Pending Trial.
	Court orders federal detainer.
	Defendant is ordered temporarily detained. Detention hearing set for:
	Detention continued.
	Bond continued: \square as previously set, or \square as modified:

Mr. Humble requests detention arguing the government's case is strong and this is a presumption case.

Mr. Humble argues due to the charges the request is due to protection of the public.

Mr. Phillip argues for release with conditions under the Adam Walsh Act.

Mr. Phillip argues that defendant has no criminal history, owns a home, has lived in the area for 40 years, did not flee after FBI searched his residence back in November of 2024, employed with the DOC for over 20 years, and argues concerns for danger to the public would be mitigated with mandatory conditions under the Adam Walsh Act could mitigate any risk of danger to the public.

Mr. Humble argues the danger is fear of engaging in similar behavior.

Mr. Humble addresses reasons for the delay of charging due to involvement of foreign agency.

The Court addresses the 15-year mandatory minimum penalty.

The Court finds there is a rebuttable presumption.

□ Detention hearing held

The Court is satisfied that the conditions can be set to assure the defendant's future appearance and the public's safety under the Adam's Walsh Act. Defendant will be released.

Mr. Herman addresses requested conditions: Report to the pretrial services department as instructed, travel restriction to the State of Wisconsin, no firearms or dangerous weapons, refrain from direct or indirect contact with victims, witnesses or family member's alleged in the offense,

No alcohol, surrender passport and Location Monitoring (Home Detention).

The Court clarifies all guns were to be removed from his residence.

^{*}After the hearing there was a verbal motion by the government to unseal the indictment. The Court instructed the clerk to enter a text only order unsealing the indictment.